EXHIBIT B

From:

Peter Andrews <pandrews@theharmanfirm.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, May 14, 2013 5:43 PM

To:

D'Ablemont, Eugene

Subject:

Re: Justiniano v. Phoenix Beverages

On Tue, May 14, 2013 at 5:38 PM, Peter Andrews < <u>pandrews@theharmanfirm.com</u>> wrote: Dear Mr. D'Ablemont,

I have reviewed your May 10, 2013 letter to my colleague Walker Harman in this matter (*Justiniano v. Phoenix Beverages*)

We strongly disagree with your contention that the plaintiffs' complaint is frivolous, and we also take issue with your unilateral demand that we advise you of whether we will be withdrawing the complaint by May 17, 2013 (that is one week from the date of your letter)

Please note that there are well-established procedures for requesting an opposing party to withdraw a complaint,

and you have completely failed to follow those procedures, despite invoking Fed. R. Civ. P. 11.

I have attached a defense oriented article from the NY Law Journal for your review.

www.dechert.com/files/Publication/e56df8bf-4948-4128-999e-8eb7e6ec116e/Presentation/PublicationAttachment/2990073f-ca67-4a22-8c95-ade8dfa9635f/07081221%20Dechert.pdf

In the meantime, please be advised that we have no intention of discontinuing this action, nor do we believe that any "safe harbor" window of time is currently running.

I suggest you read Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 before threatening us with it.

Peter Andrews

Attorney at Law

THE HARMAN FIRM, PC

200 West 57th Street | Suite 900 | New York NY 10019

212.425.2600 d 917.826.7478 f 212.202.3926

pandrews@theharmanfirm.com

www.theharmantirm.com

Use message and any attached file are intended only for the person or court to which they are addressed and may contain automation that is provided as a specific or a first wise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution, or consumed this message or the intermediate better or any one attended as a second transfer or the may received this message in error proper made as manufactured.